# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| <b>Q5</b> 1                   |            | on No                 |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PATRICIA COSGROVE, Plaintiff, |            | )<br>MAGISTRATE JUDGE |  |  |  |  |
| ,                             | v.         | ) JURY DEMANDED       |  |  |  |  |
| NEW SEABURY RE<br>MANAGEMEN   |            | )<br>)<br>)           |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Defendant. | ) HEGEIPT #           |  |  |  |  |
|                               | COMPLAINT  | WAIVER FORM           |  |  |  |  |
| I. <u>INTRODUCTI</u>          | <u>on</u>  | BY DPTY, CLK.         |  |  |  |  |

This is an action for unlawful sex and pregnancy discrimination, and retaliation and a violation of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). As set forth below, Plaintiff Patricia Cosgrove worked for four years as Sales Manager for defendant New Seabury Resources Management, Inc. During her employment, Plaintiff's performance was excellent. However, shortly after announcing she was pregnant, Plaintiff was told by her employer that she would be demoted to an administrative assistant position. Defendant demoted Plaintiff while she was out on maternity leave, and then retaliated against her after she complained the demotion was discriminatory by terminating her almost immediately upon her return from maternity leave. Plaintiff now seeks lost wages and benefits, emotional distress damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and costs, all as provided for by law.

Page 2 of 6

#### II. **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Patricia Cosgrove is an adult resident of East Falmouth, Massachusetts.
- 2. Defendant New Seabury Resources Management, Inc. is a business incorporated in Delaware with offices in Mashpee, Massachusetts.
  - 3. On information and belief, Defendant has at least 50 employees.

#### III. **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 4. Plaintiff Patricia Cosgrove ("Cosgrove") was hired as an Account Executive by defendant in April 1999. Within two months, she was promoted to the position of Sales Manager.
- 5. During her employment there, Plaintiff's work performance was excellent.
- 6. In February, 2003, Cosgrove informed her general manager, Steven Brennan ("Brennan"), that she was pregnant.
- 7. When Cosgrove informed Brennan that she planned to return to work after her maternity leave, one of his comments in response was to the effect of "unless you're like my wife, in which case you'll never come back."
- 8. On April 28, 2003, Brennan told Cosgrove that her position of sales manager was being eliminated as of the following week, and informed Cosgrove that she was being demoted to an administrative assistant position.

Page 3 of 6

- 9. The demotion reduced Cosgrove's salary by 30%, diminished her responsibilities, and required her to work weekends.
- 10. On May 2, 2003, Cosgrove complained in writing to Brennan that the demotion was pregnancy discrimination, and informed him that she planned to file a complaint with the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination.
- 11. Cosgrove also stated in her letter that she would accept the demotion if it was the only way she could stay employed, and that she intended to return to work at New Seabury after her maternity leave.
- 12. Shortly after she was demoted, Cosgrove left work on a medical leave as recommended by her physician, due to complications with her pregnancy.
- 13. Cosgrove returned to work on the exact day her twelve-week maternity leave elapsed, October 7, 2003.
- 14. When she returned to work, Brennan told her that she had no job, not even the administrative assistant position to which she had been demoted.
- 15. Cosgrove insisted that Brennan give her the job that defendant had demoted her to prior to her maternity leave.
- 16. Cosgrove was then given the option of being unemployed, or working in defendant's warehouse scanning documents.
- 17. Cosgrove immediately reported to the warehouse to begin scanning documents. The warehouse was cold and unheated, and Cosgrove was required to work in isolation all day.

- 18. Cosgrove was never given the job of administrative assistant after she returned from maternity leave.
- 19. Cosgrove, through her attorney, wrote to Brennan and New Seabury, and included a copy of the Charge of Discrimination she intended to file at the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination. Shortly thereafter, Cosgrove sent an e-mail to Brennan, asking him to be moved from the warehouse to a vacant office in defendant's regular facility, and stating that she did not believe that scanning files in the warehouse was part of the administrative assistant's job.
  - 20. Immediately thereafter, Brennan terminated Cosgrove.
- 21. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, as well as a loss of back pay, front pay, benefits, and other damages as set forth herein.
- 22. On information and belief, other pregnant employees at Defendant have also had their positions eliminated shortly after they announced they were pregnant.

#### IV. **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

23. Pursuant to state and federal requirements, Plaintiff filed her discrimination and retaliation claims at the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination ("MCAD") and received a right-to-sue letter prior to filing this lawsuit.

#### COUNT I

## SEX AND PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION

Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes unlawful sex and pregnancy discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., and Mass. Gen. L. c. 151B § 4(1).

#### COUNT II

#### RETALIATION

Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes unlawful retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., and Mass. Gen. L. c. 151B § 4(4).

#### COUNT III

## FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes a violation of the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2614.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury on all of her claims.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter the following relief:

- 1. Reinstatement
- 2. Back pay
- 3. Lost benefits
- 4. Front pay

Dated: April 20, 2005

- Emotional distress damages 5.
- 6. Punitive damages
- 7. Attorneys' fees and costs
- 8. Any other relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICIA COSGROVE,

By her attorneys.

Shannón Liss-Riordan, BBO #640716

M. Amy Carlin BBO #638446

PYLE, ROME, LICHTEN, EHRENBERG & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

18 Tremont Street, 5th Floor

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 367-7200

6

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

|   | HIGH THE CASE DELONGS BASED  | NIDON THE NUMBERED NATU   | IRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL   |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  | OPON THE NUMBERED WATE  | ME 0. 0011 00BE 2101 = 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   |
| VER SHEET.  | (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).   |   |  |
| - l.  | 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLES   | S OF NATURE OF SUIT.  |  |
| _ II.   | 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 5<br>740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 85   | 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730,<br>50, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.  | *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121<br>for patent, trademark or copyright cases  |
| III.  | 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 380, 385, 450, 891.  | 230, 240, 245, 290, 310,<br>360, 362, 365, 370, 371,  |  |
| _ IV.   | 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875,   |   |  |
| _ v. \  | 150, 152, 153.   |   |  |
| İ   |  |   | IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  | ·   | OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT  |
| JUNI!   |  | YES   | (NO)   |
|   |  |   | AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE   |
| UBLIC INTERE  | ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)   | YES   | NO   |
| SO, IS THE U.   | S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR E   |   | 1 /  |
| SO, IS THE U.   | S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR E   |   | 1 /  |
| S THIS CASE R   |  | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR<br>YES   | TY?  |
| S THIS CASE R   |  | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR<br>YES   | NO NO  |
| S THIS CASE R<br>28 USC §2284?<br>DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE                                      | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETE<br>PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLU  | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUI YES JOING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN   | NO NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL  |
| 5 THIS CASE R<br>8 USC §2284?<br>DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE                                       | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETE<br>PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLU<br>TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER   | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUI YES JOING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN   | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE  |
| S THIS CASE R<br>8 USC §2284?<br>OO <u>ALL</u> OF THE<br>COMMONWEAL                         | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETE<br>PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLU<br>TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER   | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUF YES JUING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI   | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE   |
| 5 THIS CASE R<br>8 USC §2284?<br>DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE<br>COMMONWEAL<br>SAME DIVISION        | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETE<br>PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLU<br>TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER<br>? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUF YES JUING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI   | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE   |
| S THIS CASE R<br>8 USC §2284?<br>DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE<br>COMMONWEAL<br>SAME DIVISION        | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO   | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUL YES JOING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNME CENTRAL DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT   | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL  NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO ENTAL PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION RIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING  |
| S THIS CASE R<br>8 USC §2284?<br>OO ALL OF THE<br>OMMONWEAL<br>AME DIVISION                 | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO  EASTERN DIVISION  IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO  | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUL YES JOING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNME CENTRAL DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT   | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL  NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO ENTAL PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION RIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING  |
| THIS CASE R USC §2284?  O ALL OF THE OMMONWEAL AME DIVISION  A.  B.                         | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER PORTS ("GOVER P | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARE YES  ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUNTY  YES  JOING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI  ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNME  CENTRAL DIVISION  THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT.  | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL  NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO ENTAL PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION RIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING S RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION                                      |
| THIS CASE R B USC §2284?  O ALL OF THE OMMONWEAL AME DIVISION  B.  ASE TYPE OR ORNEY'S NAME | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVER PORTS ("GOVER P | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PAR YES  ERMINED BY A DISTRICT COUNTY YES  DDING GOVERNMENTAL AGEN RNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESI ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNME CENTRAL DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT  CENTRAL DIVISION THAT THE PLAINT RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT  CENTRAL DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT  CENTRAL DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINT RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT  CENTRAL DIVISION | NO RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITL  NO CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE NO ENTAL PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION TIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING S RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING S RESIDE? |

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  |  |   |                                    | DEFENDANTS   |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Patricia Cosgrove  |  |   |                                    | New Seabury Resources Management, Inc.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Barnstable (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  |  |   |                                    | County of Residence of First Listed  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Nar<br>M.Amy Carlin at<br>& Liss-Riord<br>18 Tremont St,<br>(617) 367-7200                                      | Pyle, Rome, an, P.C.   | Lichten, Ehr  | enberg                             | Attorneys (If I<br>Howard W  |   | , Esq.   |  |  |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)   |  |   |                                    | III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only)  and One Box for De fendant)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government  |  |   |                                    | (For Diversity Cases Only)  DEF  Citizen of This State   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant ☐ Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)   |  | Citizen   | of Another State                   | □ 2 □ 2  | =   | d Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5<br>n Another State   |  |  |  |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI  | r (Place an "X" in   | One Box Only)   |                                    | or Subject of a [gn Country  | 3 🗆 3   | Foreign Nation   | □ 6 □ 6  |  |  |
| CONTRACT   |  | ORTS  | FORFE                              | ITURE/PENALT   | Y BAN   | KRUPTCY  | OTHER STATUTES   |  |  |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort P roduct Liability 290 All Other Real Property  | PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assa ult, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Ma rine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 M otor V chiele 355 M otor V chiele Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommod ations 444 W elfare 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITI  510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ott 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition | 620                                | Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scieure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other  LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/M gmt. Relatior Labor/M gmt. Reportin & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | 423 Will 28 U PROPE   820 Cop   830 Pateis   840 Trad   861 H IA   862 Blac   863 DIW   865 RSI   FEDERA   870 T axes or De   871 IRS   871 IRS | RTY RIGHTS  yrights nt emark  L SECURITY  (1395ff) tk Lung (923) C/DIW W (405 (g)) O Title XVI (405(g)) AL TAXSUITS  s (U.S. Plaintiff fenda nt) | 400 State Reap portionment   410 Antitust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.   460 Deportation   470 Racke teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   891 Agricultural A cts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determine Walled Determine |  |  |
| J 1 Original □ 2 Ro  | emoved from 3 at Court   | Remanded from  Appellate Court  | Reopene                            | ed or $\Box$ 5 (spected  | • /   | □ 6 Multidistri<br>Litigation  | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment  |  |  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO  42 U.S.C. Sect discrimination, Act against Pla VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY 4/20 DATE | ion 2000e et 29 U.S.C. Seintiff's form  LJ CHECK IF THIS  UNDER F.R.C.I  | er employer.<br>SIS A CLASS ACTIO   | s an ac<br>nd for<br>DEMA<br>\$250 | tion for uretaliation  | inlawful<br>on and a<br>Ci<br>Ju  | i ciolatio   | pregnancy on of Family Medical of demanded in complaint:  XD Yes   |  |  |
| OR OFFICE USE ONLY   | MOUN   | APPLYING 1FP  | TOKNEY RER                         | JUDGE  |   | MAZ: IUD   | a.e.   |  |  |